# Title II Project Application ROSEBURG DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit):\_\_\_

2. Project Name:	3. County:	
4. Project Sponsor:	5. Date:	
6. Sponsor's Phone Number:		
7. Sponsors E-mail:		
•		
8. Project Location (attach project area map)		
a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known)	:	
b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known)	:	
c. Legal Location: Township Range Section	on(s)	
Township Range Section	on(s)	
Township Range Section	on(s)	
Township Range Section	on(s)	
Township Range Section		
Township Range Section	on(s)	
Township Range Section	on(s)	
Township Range Section	on(s)	
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area	
f. National Forest	g. Forest Service District	
h. State / Private / Other lands involved?   Yes   No		
9. Statement of Project Goals and Objective	ves:	
10. Project Description: (Provide concise descriptio	n of project and attach man)	
10. 11 oject Description. (1 tovide colleise descriptio	ii or project and attach map.)	

**04/02/1** 

# Title II Project Application ROSEBURG DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?				
□ Yes □ No If yes, then describe				
• •				
12. How does proposed project meet purposes of	of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]			
☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]				
☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]				
☐ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]				
☐ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]				
13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203 (b)(1)]				
☐ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]			
☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]			
☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2	2)(A)]			
☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]			
☐ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]			
☐ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]			
☐ Reestab lish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]				
☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:				
14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expe	ected Outcomes [Sec. 203 (b)(5)]			
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles:			
c. No. Structures:	d. Est. People Reached			
e. No. Laborer Days:	(for environmental education projects):			
f. Other (specify):				

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203 (b)(2)]

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable)

**04/02/1** 2

## Title II Project Application ROSEBURG DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

- 17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]
- 18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203 (b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.
- 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

20. Status of Project Planning					
a. NEPA Complete:	□ Yes	□ No			
If no, give est. date of completion:					
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	□ Yes	□ No			
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	□ Yes	□ No			
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not Applicable		
f. DSL/ODF W* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not Applicable		
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not Applicable		
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not Applicable		
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	□ Yes	□ No			
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish	and Wildlife, COE =	Army Corps of	fEngineers, SHPO =		
State Historic Preservation Officer					
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment					
□ Contract	☐ Federal Workfo	orce	<u>-</u>		
□ County Workforce	□ Volunteers				
☐ Other (specify):					

**22.** Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

04/02/1

## Title II Project Application ROSEBURG DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203 (b)(3)]					
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested	1:				
b. Is this a multi-year funding request?	☐ Yes ☐ No If	yes, then display by fis	cal year		
c. FY02 Request:		f. FY05 Request:			
d. FY03 Request:		g. FY06 Request:			
e. FY04 Request:					
Table 1. Project Cost Analysis	Column A Fed. Agency	Column B Requested	Column C <b>Other</b>	Column D Total	
Item	Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)	County Title II Contribution	Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Available Funds	
24. Field Work & Site Surveys					
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA					
Consultation					
26. Permit Acquisition					
27. Project Design & Engineering					
28. Contract Preparation					

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203 (b)(4)]

31. Workforce Cost32. Materials & Supplies

35. Project Sub-Total

36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects)

37. Total Cost Estimate

33. Monitoring
34. Other

04/02/1

# Title II Project Application ROSEBURG DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

39.	M	onitor	ing l	Plan	[Sec.	203	(b)(6)	]
-----	---	--------	-------	------	-------	-----	--------	---

	(e)((e)())
a.	What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? $[Sec.\ 203\ (b)(6)]$ Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
b.	How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203 (b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
c.	What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203 (b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
	Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33) mount:

**04/02/1** 5

# Title II Project Application ROSEBURG DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

#### **County Commissioner Concurrence**

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 pro- Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as s	
Attested by Commissioner	Date
Priority Rating:	
□ High □ Medium □ Low	
Comments/Rational:	

**04/02/1** 6